App. F. IX-4

1940.

(Police C/S)

File No. 101-40

Serial Nos. 1-5

Previous References.

Ch 45-37 (16-22)
Ch 58-28 (1-2)
SA 214-32 (1
Ch 131-34 (1-2)

Later References.

Cs-24-45-(1-2)
Cs 337-42 (1-4
Cs.214-55-(1,2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. five only

Part B for June '41

SUBJECT.

Duty allowance to Stenographers of High Court.

P 6501-2 Dated 23.6.1941.

To

1) The Registrar H.C.

2) Comptroller Through F.D.

Directs that the duty allowance of Rs 10 per mensem to the Stenographers at present Working under all the Judges of the High Court be Continued to be paid to them.

C. G. Vajravelu
30/7/1941

Serial No.

Courts Collection 45-37 relating to the allocation of the Officials of the High Court Office in revised grades sanctioned in G.O. Dt 30.9.1938 is placed below for kind reference.

The question of granting D.A. to Stenographers attached to the Judges of the High Court had been deferred pending the decision of the question as a reference to the High Court.

2) It is submitted that this Collection was put up with the file relating to the revision of the grades of the Staffs attached to the Criminal Courts in the State and was struck up in the Audit Office and subsequently it was also required in connection with the budget estimates for the Judicial Dept for 1940-41.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

4) This file became available only

Serial No.

in the middle of August 1940 and (the) due to abnormal number of receipts received during that month and the subsequent months, the the branch felt ~~it~~ unable to submit the same for orders.

2) The High Court may be consulted in the matter.

A draft is also put up.

Asst. Jenl. Secy. Issue

29/10 (Law Secretary) 3 28/10

The delay is regrettable. The High Court may be consulted.

Hm 2 28/10/1940.

Issue 3 28/10

The delay in putting up this file was unavoidable, owing to the pressure of work on the Law Department as well as to work other than normal work, but Law is separate in other work and in the absence of the Deputy Secretary Special Duty.

3 28/10 GS

2956 29/10

Issued No. P 2162 of 4.10.40

Hm

3132

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 194 . | [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

From A E
The Registrar High Court
No 471/us-41 dt 20.11.1941.

Recommends that the Stenographers who are deputed for work in the bungalows of Judges may be granted duty allowances.

Submitted for orders with references.

8) In addition to the 4 Stenographers who are working under the 4 permanent Judges of the High Court, the High Court have recommended that the two Stenographers working under the temporary Judges may also be granted D.A.

Ld. CPOR 29/11

9) (It has already been noted that the reference collection was shewn up in Audit Office and it was taken for training purposes for a considerably long time).

(10) The duty allowance of the Stenographers who work in the Judges' bungalows, may be sanctioned to be continued, but the allowance of the Stenographer who is now not working as the personal clerk of the Chief Justice may be stopped.

Law Secretary. 3
31/1/41

The delay is regretted as I was on leave on illness.
CPOR 29/11

5-34)
31/1

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

(11) The Duty Allowance of the three stenographers working under Puisne Judges may be continued.

(12) In the case of the two stenographers who are working under the two temporary Judges, it is but fair that they should also be paid the same Duty Allowance as others. The Duty Allowance may be sanctioned to them also

(13) The Duty Allowance of the Stenographer working under the Chief Justice may be discontinued as suggested by the High Court. A

FINANCIAL CONCURRENCE U.O.No.Fi: 3959 3 FEB. 1941 MADRAS

I Member)
this finance).

Hm 3/2/41

3/2/41

(14) A. I agree.

3/2/41

(15) Reference is invited to the view expressed in my note dated 25th March 1939 in the collection placed below (E). ~~Grant~~ Continuance of duty allowances to the Steno-typists working under the Puisne Judges is not acceptable to the Finance Department. I have repeatedly urged that with the improvement of the pay and prospects of those officials the duty allowances drawn by them should cease. Duty allowances are not being granted to the steno-typists working under other Heads of Departments or Secretaries to Government and it is not quite desirable to create inconvenient precedents by sanctioning such allowances to the steno-typists under reference, who apparently have received the

P.T.O.

5132/P

Serial No.

3959/mo

benefits of the revised scale of pay also. Non-absorption of allowances in other cases should not be a ground under such circumstances to permit sanction of new allowances. The unusual delay in dealing with this case has resulted in avoidable expenditure being incurred.

AD 11.2.41

FEB 17 1941

8212

I Member)

Hm 2/2/41.

3 4/2/41

3 7/2/41

(16) When were Misc. Duty allowances originally sanctioned? How long have they been drawn by the officials in question? What increases of salary in these officials (recent) as a result of the revision of scale?

Mee 19/2/41

Hm 2 19/2/41.

3 19/2/41

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

7)

With Reference to First Member's Minute Dy 19.2.1941 on the previous page, the following facts are submitted :-

(1) Date from which the D.A. was allowed :-

It is submitted that a Duty allowance at Rs 10/- p.m. was ordered to be paid to the personal clerks of the judges with effect from 15th September 1928. From this date the allowance is being drawn. Kind reference is invited to the G.O. Dy 15.9.1928 on the subject. The question was further considered by the Retrenchment Committee and it was considered necessary that the allowance should be continued.

Flag Z (C.S.58-28-2)

Z

Reference is respectfully invited to discussions in paras 8-10 of the notes in the S+A section 214-32- placed below.

Flag L

(2) ~~Increase~~ ~~of~~ Selection Benefit accrued to the Stenographers as a result of revision of grades.

A Copy of the G.O. Dy 30.9.1928

M

Serial No.

Sanctioning the revision of the scales of pay of the High Court establishment is submitted herewith for reference.

The following revision of the Scales of pay of the Stenographers have been ordered :-

	Old grade	Revised grade
1 Stenographer	40-4-100	1 120-6-150 ✓
4 typists	30-3-60-4-80	1 Stenotypist 80-5-120 ✓
		5 " " 60-4-80 ✓
2 typists	30-2-40	5 " " 30-3-60 ✓
7		12

It is submitted that there are no sanctioned posts of personal clerks for work under the Judges of the High Court and selected Officials of the general establishment are deputed for work.

In the view of this, it is not known as to who are the Officials that are detailed for this work and allowed the benefit. It is possible that the Officials in the last grade may be deputed for the work in preference to those who are in the higher grades.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

(3) Duration of the payment of the allowance to the Stenographers:-

It is seen from the P. W. D that the allowance has been drawn up to date.

In this Connection permission is respectfully solicited to submit the following facts.

While sanctioning the allocation of the establishment of the High Court in the revised grades it was considered that the question might be deferred for further consideration. In view of this, the pay of each of the officials was fixed in the allocation order without making any addition in regard to the D.A. in the cases of personal Clerks. A para was added to the G.o. that separate orders would be issued in the matter of D.A. to the Stenographers. In pursuance of this each official is entitled to draw only the pay allowed in the allocation order but not otherwise. While it was definitely stipulated that separate orders would issue in the matter of the allowance,

Flag X

W

D. A. to Stenographers of this Court

Serial No.

it is not clear how it was drawn without a further reference to Govt and how the same was allowed in audit by the Audit Dept. It is ascertained that it is issued in all the departments to address Govt in such cases and to obtain definite orders of Govt if a doubt is felt.

This Office Could not suspect that the allowance was drawn as the G.O. in question was definite that separate orders were required in that behalf.

(18) The payment of the allowance from 15-9-1928 appears to have been ordered in consideration of the following facts :-

Rep P

(1) Increase of work
(2) Working in the Office and also in the bungalows of the Judges in mornings and in holidays besides working in the Office
(3) Taking down judgments in Chambers and in Court in addition to typing work and Office work.

(4) the long distances to be covered every day from their residences to those of Judges and thereafter to the office and back before going again whenever required to the Judges residences.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Submitted for orders with references

Cons
6/3
11/P

File No. of 194 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

(19) The Stenographer attached to the Office of the Chief Justice was at first on 80-4-100 grade, as per the Revised Scale he is now on 120-6-150 grade & is now drawing Rs 132/- plus Rs 10/ as Duty allowance. The Chief Justice is not taking the services of the Stenographer at his residence to dictation work to - but the allowance is being paid to him as the former Secretary to Govt in that respect, hence not been received from the Govt. In the Enumeration statement is drawn to May to - last Para which reads as follows:- " Orders will issue separately regarding the Duty allowance which is being paid to said & the Stenotypists of the High Court Office." Because, no further orders on the subject was issued by Govt, the High Court is continuing to pay it & the Duty allowance to the said Stenotypist; though he does no Stenotyping work at the residence of the Chief Justice. But now, the Duty Allowance to the Stenotypists must stop as directed by the High Court -

As regards the other three Stenotypists their former pay & present pay ~~allowance~~ can be seen from the table below:-

a) Former 60-4-80; Present 80-5-120. Pay 90+10

b) " 30-3-60-4-80. " 60-4-80 " 80+10

c) " 30-2-40 " 60-4-80 " 68+10

The High Court suggests that Duty allowance be continued to be paid to them as they have to work at the residences of the Puisne Judges. But the Treasury is of

D. A. G Stenographers of the Secretariat

3132

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 101 of 1940. | [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

Opinion that allowances should be discontinued

(20) The post of a Shorthand typist attached to the Judicial Dept is not an enviable one, for he has to attend the hours of Courts for taking down Courts dictated to him. He has to go to the houses of Judges at odd hours, work there & then go to the Court & type down above what was dictated to him & then do the other work allotted to him. He is one of the hard-worked, busy, over-worked officials of the Judicial Dept. His work cannot in any way be compared to the Steno-typists of other Departments & for these reasons, that Hon'ble Court recommend the continuance of these allowances.

(21) I therefore submit that the suggestion of Hon'ble Court may be sanctioned, by discontinuing the daily allowance of the Steno-typist attached to the office of the Chief Justice & continuing the allowances of the other three Steno-typists, & sanctioning an allowance of Rs 10/ per each of the Steno-typists who are attending the hours of the two additional Puisne Judges.

Law Secretary) 3 13/3/41

6x5=3 14/3.

I Member) (22) Hm 3 17/3/41

3 17/3/41

(23) A draft reply on the lines of this Fin Secy's note may be put up for consideration

9516 21/3/41

Hm 21/3/41

Hm 2 24/3/41 3 24/3/

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Duty Allowance to Stenographers of the High Court

3132

its File No. 101 of 1940. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Submitted with a draft

CBR 25/3

25) may be approved
Law Secretary
3
28/3/

26) For perusal before issue
I Member)
Hm
2
26/3/41.
3
26/3/41

6557
26/3

[illegible]
FILED
MAR 27 1941
No. 9721.

27) The Law Secy may please
speak to me
MM
28/3/41

28) Spoke to the Member
Hm
2
1/4/41

29)
Submit with a draft as directed
CBR 2/4

30) Draft for approval
Law Secretary
3
2/4/41

6751
2/4

31) Hm
2/4/41.

32) Jm
3
3/4/

To the Registrar High Court
No P.4927 dy 3.4.41
Calls for certain particulars.

3

Sec A

Serial No. 4

From

The Registrar High Court

No. Roc 471/40-41 dt 14-4-41

Furnishes a Statement indicating the pay and the allowance drawn by certain Stenographers of the High Court.

(33) The High Court have furnished particulars in respect of 6 Stenographers who are stated to have been working under the Judges of the High Court. Among these, 2 are ~~temporarily~~ working under the 2 Additional Judges which posts are temporary.

(34) From the Statement now furnished it is seen that the marginally noted 3 Stenographers have been benefited by the reorganisation but Mr Krishniah Setty who is working under Mr Justice Lingaravelu Mudaliar has not been benefited. The extent to which the above named officials have been benefited is as indicated below:

(1) Mr T. H. Narayana Iyer
(2) " T. K. Vaidyalingam
(3) " N. V. Krishna Murthy

	Pay before reorganisation	Pay after reorganisation
(1) Mr T. M. Narayana Iyer	100	132
(2) " T. K. Vaidyalingam	80	90 X
(3) " N. V. Krishna Murthy	45	64

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

X He has been put into the grade Rs 80-5-120. Before reorganisation he was in grade Rs 30-3-60-4-80

Duty Allowance to Stenographers of High Court

5933

Cis File No. 101 of 194 a | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

(35) The following points are for orders :-

(1) Whether the payment of the D.A. to the 3 Stenographers who have been benefited by the reorganisation may be discontinued.

(2) Whether the D.A. may be continued to be paid to Mr P. N. Krishnaiah Chetty who has not been benefited by the reorganisation

Sl No 3 in the list

(3) Whether the Stenographers attached to the 2 Temporary Judges may be granted any allowance.

Laid for orders with references

Law Secretary 3
12/5/41

Cpa 8/5/41 Mrd

7057
15/5

Only three out of six stenographers have been ~~benefited~~ benefited. by the re-organisation. The D.A. is given for the extra work to be done by these stenographers by attending the houses of the judges. Either all® may be given or none,

®(except the one serving under the Chief Justice)

I Member)

Hm 2
13/5/41

13/5/41

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
MAY 13. 1941
No 1174

5933

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 194 | [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

11741

Stenographers and personal clerks have often to work both in the bungalows and offices of ~~their~~ Officers. But no allowance is given as a rule on this account. Seems, however, that such allowances have been given in the past to stenographers working with High Court Judges, it is not advisable to ~~terminate~~ discontinue this practice suddenly. If the Chief Justice sees no objection, the grant of these allowances may be limited in future to officials who are drawing a pay of Rs 500 or less p.m. The allowance may be continued also to the No 3 who it is said has not benefited by the reorgn. Please consult Judges.

Mee
15/5/41

Hm
15/5/41

Jt Secy) 3
15/5/41

The Finance Department has been urging right through that with the improvement of the pay and prospects of the Steno-typists of the High Court, the allowances that were being drawn by those officials should cease. If it is not advisable to discontinue the allowances suddenly, I would suggest that their pay in their grades might be fixed at what they would have drawn from time to time if the allowances drawn by them were merged in their salary

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

P.T.O.

ance to Stenographers High Court

File No. 1

of 194 . 5933 General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

at the time of the reorganisation. This would not hit anybody hard and subject to this I agree to the minute of the Member at A, as a special case.
Vide also the portion marked* in the Law Secretary's Note dated 13th May 1941 with which I agree.

AD
16.5.41
JW 16/5

I Member)

Hm 2 19/5/41.

3 19/5/41

Dewan)

MM 20/5/41

Bro 22-5-41

Hm 2 23/5/41.

3 23/5/41

OFFICE OF THE FIRST LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
MAY 19 1941
No. 1992

Recd 26/5

The following points are for orders:-

(1) Whether the decision of Govt (paras 39-41 of notes) may be communicated to the High Court for their opinion. Please see First Member's Minute in para 39 of the Notes.

D. A. to Stenographers of Hon Court 595 (1)

General and Revenue Secretariat | Cl. File No. 101 of 1940: | [App. F. II.-2

Serial No.

(2) Whether a G.O. to that effect may issue without Consulting the Hon Court

(3) Whether the temporary Stenographers working under the two Additional Judges of the Hon Court may also be given the benefit provided their pay is Rs 50/- or less.

Subd
Com
29/5

The Hon Court may be consulted on the matter before a final G.O. is passed on the subject.

Law Secretary 3 29/5/41.

8307
29/5
8615
7/6

Hon 2 31/5/41

3 31/5/41

Subd with a draft

Com
1/6

The draft as corrected may be approved.

Law Secretary 3 2/6/41.

The file was returned by the Law Secretary with instructions to put up a G.O.

The Law Secretary was pleased to state that it was the intention to sanction the payment of the D. A. to the existing Stenographers with the exception of Mr T. M. Narayana Iyer, and orders relating to the [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

D.A. to the Stenographers of the High Court.

5933

File No. of 194 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

payment of allowances to clerks getting Rs 50/- or less being made applicable to future incumbents.

A draft of a G.O. on the above lines is put up.

Cpn 9/6 11/6

(It is also a point for orders as to whether the ~~temporary~~ Stenographers attached to the temporary Judges may also be granted the allowance. In the draft of the G.O, a line in this behalf has been added subject to approval)

As the intention of Govt is to give the Daily Allowance to all the Stenographers who are working under the Govts of the High Court, I think, the D.A. must also be given to the Stenographers of the Temporary Courts. The Rs 50/ rule is to be applied only to Stenographers who will be appointed on & from the date of the present order

Hence a change is made in the 4th & the 5th lines of the order & the last para of the G.O. may be omitted.

Law Secretary 3 11/6/41

For perusal before issue.

Fin Secy M.C.) Hm 2 12/6/41.

AD 12/6/41 12/6/41 Hm 13/6/41 Hm 13/6/41 13/6/41

GOVT. OF MADRAS 13. JUN 1941

7045/no

5933

General and Revenue Secretariat	File No.	of 194	[App. F. III-2

Serial No.

Com 3858

Financial Secy has agreed
Off and fair Subd
Sub
24/6
25/6.

Issued
25/6

5 To
The Registrar High Court
The Comptroller & Govt. Insane &c
No 6501-2 dy 23.6.41

Directs the Continuance of the payment of the D.A. to the Stenographers of the Hon Court working under all the Judges of the H.C, except that of Mr T.M. Narayana Rao

B

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

No. P2162 Ch 101-40-1
& 29.10.40.

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

To

The Registrar
High Court

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to G.O. No P6434-5 Dpts 45-37-16 Dt 13-6-1939 sanctioning the ~~Conversion~~ allocation of officials of the High Court in the newly sanctioned grades in G.O. Dt 30.9.1938

And to enquire whether the D.A. paid to ~~some~~ of the Stenographers attached to the Judges of the High Court cannot be discontinued in view of the ~~increased grades~~ increases enhanced

WD 0282—GPB- 22,500—14-3-38

pay they are now receiving in the revised grades.

Information may also be furnished as to whether the D.A. was paid to each of the Stenographers as were deputed for work under the Judges of the High Court or whether it was treated as a P.A. to them irrespective of their working under the Judges or not.

I have etc

Jr Secy to Govt
Law Dept

Ch. 101-40-1

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P216 Ch 101-40-1 Dated, Bangalore. 29/15 October 1940.

From

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
Law Department.

To

The Registrar,
High Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to Government Order No. P. 6434-5/Cts.45-37-16, dated 13th June 1939 sanctioning the allocation of officials of the High Court in the newly sanctioned grades in Government Order dated 30th September 1938 and to enquire whether the duty allowance paid to the Stenographers attached to the Judges of the High Court cannot be discontinued in view of the enhanced pay they are now receiving in the revised grades.

Information may also be furnished as to whether the duty allowance was paid to such of the Stenographers as were deputed for work under the Judges of the High Court or whether it was treated as a personal allowance to them irrespective of their working under the Judges or not.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

3132/40 Ch. 10/-40-2

R. O. C. No. 471/4041
D. Dis.

HIGH COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore, 19th November 1940

T. Shamiengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~A.V. Sundararaja Rau, Esq., B.A., B.L.,~~
~~S. Venkata Ranga Iyengar, Esq., B.A., LL.M.,~~
Registrar, High Court of Mysore.

To

H.V. Narayana Rao, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P.2162/Cts.101-40-41, dated 29-10-40, inviting attention to Government Order No.P.6434-5/Cts.45-37-16 dated 13th June 1939, sanctioning the allocation of officials of the High Court in the newly sanctioned grades, and enquiring whether the Duty Allowance paid to the Stenographers attached to the Judges of the High Court, cannot be discontinued in view of the enhanced pay, etc., I am directed to invite kind reference to my letter No.R.O.C.162/37-38, dated 6-2-39, specifically stating that the three Duty Allowances are still required as the Puisne Judges require the shorthand writers to attend ~~to~~ at their houses.

As regards the 2nd paragraph of your letter under reference, I am to state that only those Steno-typists who are deputed to work in the Judges' bungalows are being paid Duty Allowance and that the Stenographer though not working as personal clerk of the Chief Justice continues to be paid as no orders have yet been received from the Government in that connection.

I am also to state that from 15th February 1940, there are two additional Judges working in the High Court, and the shorthand-writers ~~that~~ who are attending the houses of these Judges are not paid any Duty Allowance at present, as the Government have not sanctioned the

allowance

allowance, though such allowance was proposed in my letter No. R.O.C.668 of '39-40, ~~dated 8-12-1939. (Vide G.O.No.P.5477-8/Cts. 136-39-3, dated 10-2-1940).~~

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. Cunningham
REGISTRAR.

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

R. 4977 Dy 3.4.41
Ch 101-40-3

To

The Registrar

High Court of Mysore

Sir

With reference to Correspondence ending with your letter No Roc 471/40-41 Dy 20.11.40 re. the appointment of Stenographers attached to the Judges of the High Court, I am directed to request that further particulars may be furnished to Govt on the following items:

(1) The name of each of Stenographer detained for duty under the Judges of the High Court

(2) The pay drawn by each of them

WD 03603—GPB—15,000—8-7-35

per month
prior to the reorganisation

3) The pay drawn by them at present
as certified to after the reorganisation
up to this date: and the benefits
each is under the re-organisation.

Please etc

Hm 2/4/41

Secy to Govt
Law Dept

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 30 April 1941.

No. P. 4977/Ch. 101-40-3

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Law Department.

To

The Registrar,
High Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. R.O.C. 471/40-41, dated 20th November 1940 regarding the Duty allowance to the Stenographers attached to the Judges of the High Court, I am directed to request that further particulars may be furnished to Government on the following items:-

1. The name of each stenographer detailed for duty under the Judges of the High Court.

2. The pay drawn by each of them per month prior to the re-organisation.

3. The pay drawn by them after the re-organisation up to this date and the benefit each got under the re-organisation.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

For Secretary to Government
Law Department.

Cb. 101—40—4

DXXO. R.G.C. 471
1941
Enc: one

HIGH COURT
OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

Dated 14th April 1941.

5933
P

T. Shamiengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, High Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.

16/4

17/4

To

H.V. Narayana Rao, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.P.4977/Cts.101-40, dated 3.4.1941, requesting information regarding pay and duty allowance drawn by the Stenographers working under the Judges of the High Court, I am directed to enclose a statement containing the information and to state that Serial Nos.1, 2 and 4 were benefited by re-organisation, but Serial No.3 was not in any way benefited by it. Serial Nos. 5 and 6 who were taken to the High Court after the two additional Judges of the High Court were appointed are not given any Duty Allowance.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T. Shamiengar
REGISTRAR.

8K -

NG.

Statement showing the names of Stenographers and the Hon'ble Judges under whom they are working with details of pay before re-organisation and after.

S.No.	Name.	Name of the Judge under whom he is working.	Pay drawn & grade prior to re-organisation.	The pay drawn by them after re-organisation upto this date.
1.	Mr.T.M.Narayana Iyer.		100+10 D.A. in (40-4-100 grade)	He was fixed on Rs.120/- in 120-6-150 grade in the re-organisation. He has earned 2 increments of Rs.6/- each with effect from 1.10.38. At present he does not attend the C.J's bungalow but attends to judgments dictated in the first Court. His present pay is Rs.132+10 D.A. in 120-6-150 grade.
2.	Mr.T.K.Vythialingam.	Adl-ul-Mulk Mr.Justice C.Abdul Ghani.	80+10 D.A. in (30-3-60-4-80)	His pay was fixed at Rs.80/- but in the better grade of Rs.80-5-120. He has earned 2 increments of Rs.5/- each with effect from 1.10.1938 and his present pay is Rs.90+10 in Rs.80-5-120 grade.
3.	Mr.P.N.Krishniah Chetty.	Mr.Justice T.Singaravelu Mudaliar.	68+10 D.A. in 30-3-60-4-80 grade.	His pay was not changed in the re-organisation. But his grade was changed from Rs.30-3-60-4-80 to the grade of Rs.60-4-80 and his present pay is Rs.80+10 D.A. in 60-4-80 grade.
4.	Mr.N.V.Krishna Murthy.	Rajadharmaprasakta Mr.Justice A.R. Nagesvara Iyer.	45+10 Permanent in Rs.30-2-40 grade and acting on Rs.45/- in the Rs.30-3-60-4-80 grade.	He was made permanent in Rs.30-3-60 grade and is acting in Rs.60-4-80 grade. His permanent pay at present with increment is Rs.51+1/5th of 64, in which grade he has put in one year, and has earned 1 increment. He is benefited to the extent of nearly Rs.18/-. His present pay is 51+1/5ths of 64 = 63-13-0+10 D.A. in Rs.60-4-80 grade.
5.	Mr.N.Gangadhariah.	Mr.Justice S.Venkata Ranga Iyengar.	He was not in the High Court before re-organisation.	He was working as Stenographer in the District Court of Bangalore on Rs.60/- and now he is in Rs.60-4-80 grade in the High Court getting Rs.60/-.
6.	Mr.B.Sivashankaran.	Mr.Justice C. Subrahmanya Aiyar.	-do-	He is a Steno-typist on Rs.35/- in Temporary Establishment recently sanctioned for the Additional Judges He gets no D.A.

Ale

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 23.6.41 193 .

Signed by Mr. K.P.P.

Section Cts

File No. 101-40

Serial No. 5

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

G.O. No P 6434-5/Cts 45-37-16 Dt 13.6.1939 sanctioning the allocation of the Ministerial establishment of the High Court in new grades, and directing that ~~Dft~~ separate Orders will be ~~Order thereon.—No.~~ issued re. the payment of the Duty allowance to the Stenotypists of the High Court Office

(2) correspondence ending with letter No RoC 471/40-41 Dt 14.4.1941 from the

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(vi) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Registrar High Court of Mysore
in the matter of payment
of duty allowance to the
Stenographers of the High Court
of Mysore.

Order No 6501-2 Dt 23.6.41

Govt are pleased to
direct that the Duty
allowance of Rs 10/- p.m
~~paid~~ to the Stenographers at present
~~of the High Court~~ also
working under the Judges
of the High Court be
continued to be paid to
them. The allowance which
is being granted to Mr T.M.
Narayana Iyer who is
stated to have not been
working under the Chief
Justice will be discontinued
In future the ~~Special~~ Duty
~~Allowance~~ allowance will be paid

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

only to such of the officials whose pay is Rs 50/- or less.

The two Stenographers working under the Temporary Judges of the Kegalle Court will also be granted an allowance of Rs 10/- p.m. provided their pay is Rs 50/- or less.

Subject to kind approval

Hm 2

Hm 2
12/6/41.

Secretary to Gov
Law Dept

To
The Registrar Kegalle Court
The Comptroller Kachcheri Kg.

WD 03603—GPB—15,000—8-7-35

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:

Government Order No.P.6434-5/Cts. 45-37-16 dated 13th June 1939 sanctioning the allocation of the Ministerial establishment of the High Court in new grades, and directing that separate orders will be issued re: the payment of the Duty Allowance to the Steno-typists of the High Court Office.

2) Correspondence ending with letter No.ROC.471/40-41 dated 14th April 1941 from the Registrar, High Court of Mysore in the matter of payment of duty allowance to the Stenographers of the High Court of Mysore.

O r d e r No.P. 6501-2 Ch (101-40-5)

Dated, Bangalore, the 23rd June 1941.

Government are pleased to direct that the Duty Allowance of Rs.10/- per Mensem to the Stenographers at present working under all the Judges of the High Court be continued to be paid to the... The allowance which is being granted to Mr.T.N.Narayana Iyer who is stated to have not been working under the Chief Justice will be discontinued.

In future the Duty allowance will be paid only to such of the officials whose pay is Rs.50/- or less.

By Order,

Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To--The Registrar, High Court of Mysore.
The Controller to Government through Financial Department.

TN-5)